

AHNS Prevention & Early Detection Committee Position Statement on Early Detection of Pre-Malignant Oral Cancer

Approved by the AHNS Executive Council April 25, 2017

Potentially malignant disorders of the oral cavity as defined by the World Health Organization include lichen planus, leukoplakia, erythroplakia, erythroplakia, erythroplakia, erythroplakia with ulceration, proliferative verrucous leukoplakia and submucous fibrosis.¹ These are oral cavity disorders and lesions that have the potential for malignant transformation. Lesions with a diagnosis of high grade dysplasia or carcinoma *in situ* lesions have significant malignant potential that warrant excision.²

The current gold standard for detecting oral lesions with significant malignant potential includes history and physical examination with biopsy.³ This is most commonly done by dental professionals, along with otolaryngologists, on oral lesions self-identified by patients and detected during routine examinations.³⁻⁵ Head and Neck surgeons and Oral and Maxillofacial surgeons are generally understood to be the providers most familiar with features of oral lesions with significant malignant potential, and are generally more comfortable sampling oral lesions concerning for premalignant or malignant pathology.^{6,7}

Barriers to successful detection of oral lesions with significant malignant potential include patient factors and provider factors. Patient awareness of potentially malignant oral cavity lesions is low.⁸ Additionally the patients with the highest risk for these oral cancers – those with significant smoking and or alcohol history- tend to have low socioeconomic status and decreased access to physicians and dentists.^{9,10} Provider factors that might hinder the prompt evaluation of oral cavity lesions with a significant malignant potential is a lower familiarity with these lesions among general medical and dental providers. Access to consultant otolaryngologists is widely variable across the United States,¹¹ potentially causing delay in definitive diagnosis.

Over the years, adjunctive tests including commercially-available brush tests, light-based devices that assess changes in autofluorescence, and vital dyes, among others, were developed in order to assist primary medical care and dental providers in the identification of lesions with significant malignant potential worthy of biopsy.¹²⁻¹⁸ However, these tests have proven to have high false positive rates, lack the ability to differentiate between high-risk and low-risk potentially malignant lesions, require special training to use appropriately or any combination of these.¹⁹ As a result, they have not yet become widely adopted as part of the existing standard of care. The development of tests that aim to identify high-risk oral lesions continues to be an active area of research to address this ongoing, unmet clinical need. There are recent studies evaluating salivary samples,²⁰⁻²² endogenous intravenous fluorescent-labeled targeting agents²³⁻²⁶, and other spectroscopic imaging techniques²⁷ which have shown some promising results.



The last US Preventative Services Task Force review on screening for oral cancer in 2013 has determined that, based on the data available up until 2011, the committee "concludes that the evidence is insufficient to determine the balance of benefits and harms of screening for oral

cancer in asymptomatic adults by primary care providers."²⁸ Importantly, they also state that this "does not pertain to dental providers or otolaryngologists. Dental care providers and otolaryngologists may conduct a comprehensive examination of the oral cavity and pharynx during the clinical encounter".

In 2014, the AHNS Prevention and Early Detection Committee publicized a position statement that, in spite of the lack of strong evidence for screening for oral cancer, the committee advocated for the performance of a comprehensive oral head and neck exam, particularly in symptomatic or at risk individuals since this is the best known method of detecting oral cancer. There have been many publications on diagnostic tests to help identify high risk oral lesions worthy of biopsy^{21, 29, 30} that show promise but examination of further validating studies are needed to determine if any of these merit clinical adoption.

In summary, we continue to advocate for a comprehensive oral head and neck exam, particularly in symptomatic or at risk individuals for potentially malignant oral lesions because it is still the best known method of detecting oral cancer. Additionally, these exams are an opportunity to educate patients about what to look for in their own mouths to screen for oral cancer. Adjuncts that accurately identify premalignant and early malignant disease may warrant additional consideration in the future.

- 1. Barnes L, Eveson JW, Reichart P, Sidransky D, editors. Pathology and Genetics of Head and Neck Tumours. Lyon: IARC Press; 2005. World Health Organization Classification of Tumors; pp283-328.
- 2. Mehanna HM, Rattay T, Smith J, McConkey CC. Treatment and follow-up of oral dysplasia–a systematic review and meta-analysis. Head & Neck. 2009 Dec;31(12):1600-9.
- Epstein JB, Gorsky M, Day T, Gonssalves W. Screening for and diagnosis of oral premalignant lesions and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Canadian Family Physician. 2008 June;54:870-875.
- 4. Lim K, Moles D, Downer M, Speight P. Opportunistic screening for oral cancer and precancer in general dental practice:results of a demonstration study. Br Dent J 2003;194:497-502.
- 5. Mathew B, Sankaranarayanan R, Wesley R, Nair MK. Evaluation of mouth self-examination in the control of oral cancer. Br J Cancer 1995;71(2):397-9.
- 6. Gray L, Herrin K, Stiernberg C, Novosad G, Tornwall R. Perceptions of tongue lesions by dental hygiene students and otolaryngologists. Journal of Cancer Education. @002 Winter;17(4):191-5.



- 7. Cuddy K, Cobb G. The participation of Ontario Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons in Oral, Lip and Oropharyngeal Cancer. J Can Dent Assoc 2015;81:f6.
- 8. Bakr, M. Oral Cancer: An Evaluation of Knowledge and Awareness in Undergraduate Dental Students and the General Public. Oral health & preventative dentistry (1602-1622). 2016;14(4):403.
- 9. Reiter PL, Wee AG, Lehman A, Paskett ED. Oral cancer screening and dental care use among women from Ohio Appalachia. Rural Remote Health. 2012;12:2184.
- Guo Y, Logan H, Marks J, Shenkman E. The relationships among individual and regional smoking, socioeconomic status, and oral and pharyngeal cancer survival: a mediation analysis. Cancer Medicine. 2015;4(10):1612-1619.
- 11. Lango M, Handorf E, Arjmand E. The Geographic Distribution of the Otolaryngology Workforce in the United States. Laryngoscope. 2017;127:95-101.
- 12. Sridharann G, Shankar AA. Toluidine blue:a review of its chemistry and clinical utility. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2012;16(2):251-255.
- 13. Lingen MW, Kalmar JR, Karrison T, Speight PM. Critical evaluation of diagnostic aids for the detection of oral cancer. Oral Oncol. 2008;44(1):10-22.
- 14. Allegra E, Lombardo N, Puzzo L, Garozzo A. The usefulness of toluidine blue staining as a diagnostic tool for precancerous and cancerous oropharyngeal and oral cavity lesions. Acta Otolaryngol Ital. 2009;29(4):187-190.
- 15. Shin D, Vigneswaran N, Gillenwater A, Richards-Kortum R. Advances in fluorescence imaging techniques to detect oral cancer and its precursors. Future Oncol. 2010;6(7):1143-1154.
- Awan KH, Morgan PR, Warnakulasuriya S. Evaluation of an autofluorescence based imaging system (VELscope) in the detection of oral potentially malignant disorders and benign keratosis. Oral Oncol. 2011;47(4):274-277.
- 17. Hanken H, Kraatz J, Smeets, Helland M, Blessmann M, Eichhorn W, Clauditz TS, Grobe A, Kolk A, Rana M. The detection of oral pre-malignant lesions with an autofluorescence based imaging system (VELscope) a single blinded clinical evaluation. Head & Face Medicine. 2013;9:23.
- Schwartz RA, Gao W, Stepanek V, Le T, Bhattar V, Williams M, Wu J, Vigneswaran N, Adler-Storthz K, Gillenwater A, Richards-Kortum R. Prospective evaluation of a portable depth-sensitive optical spectroscopy devise to identify oral neoplasia. Biomedical Optics Express. 2011 Jan 1;2(1):89-99.
- 19. Macey R, Walsh T, Brocklehurst P, Kerr AR, Liu JL, Lingen MW, Ogden GR, Warnakulasuriya S, Scully C. <u>Diagnostic tests for oral cancer and potentially malignant disorders in patients presenting</u> with clinically evident lesions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015 May 29;(5):CD010276.



- Ralhan R, Masui O, DeSouza L, Matta A, Macha M, Siu KWM. Identification of proteins secreted by head and neck cancer cell lines using LC-MS/MS: Strategy for discovery of candidate serological biomarkers. Proteomics 2011;11:2363-2376.
- Pereira LH, Reis IM, Reategui EP, Gordon C, Saint-Victor S, Duncan R, Gomez C, Bayers S, Fisher P, Perez A, Goodwin WJ, Hu JJ, Franzmann EJ. <u>Risk Stratification System for Oral</u> <u>Cancer Screening.</u> Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2016 Jun;9(6):445-55.
- 22. Pereira L, Abebisi I, Perez A, Wiebel M, Reis I, Duncan R, Goodwin WJ, Hu J, Lockeshwar V, Franzmann E. Salivary markers and risk factor data: A multivariate modeling approach for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma detection. Cancer Biomarkers. 2010/2012;10:241-249.
- Leunig A, Betz CS, Mehlmann M, et al. Detection of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity by imaging 5-aminolevulinic acid-induced protoporphyrin IX fluorescence. Laryngoscope. 2000. 110(1):78-83.
- 24. Gong H, Kovar JL, Cheung L, Rosenthal EL, Olive DM. A comparative study of affibody., panitumumab, and EGF for near-infrared fluorescence imaging of EGFR- and EGFRvIII-expressing tumors. Cancer Biol Ther. 2014;12(2):185-193.
- Hauff SJ, Raju SC, Orosco RK, et al. Matrix-metalloproteinases in head and neck carcinoma-cancer genome atlas analysis and fluorescence imaging in mice. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surgery. 2014;151(4):612-618.
- 26. Baik F, Hansen S, Knoblaugh S, Sahetya D, Mitchell R, Xu C, Olson J, Parrish-Novak J, Mendez E. Fluorescence Identification of Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Hig-Risk Oral Dysplasia with CLZ-100, a chlorotoxin-Indocyanine Green Conjugate. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2016;142(4):330-338.
- 27. Stephen M, Jayanthi J, Unni N, Kolady P, Beena V, Jeemon P, Subhash N. Diagnostic accuracy of diffuse reflectance imaging for early detection of pre-malignant and malignant changes in the oral cavity: a feasibility study. BMC Cancer. 2013;13:278.
- 28. <u>www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationStatementFinal/oral-</u> <u>cancer-screening1</u>
- 29. Korostoff, A, Reder, L, Masood, R, Sinha, U. (2011). The role of salivary cytokine biomarkers in tongue cancer invasion and mortality. Oral Oncology 47: 282-287.
- 30. Elashoff D, Zhou H, Reiss J, Wang J, Xiao H, Henson B, Hu S, Arellano M, Sinha U, Le A, Messadi D, Wang M, Nabili V, Lingen M, Morris D, Randolph T, Feng Z, Akin D, Kastratovic DA, Chia D, Abemayor E, Wong DT. <u>Prevalidation of salivary biomarkers for oral cancer detection</u>. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2012 Apr;21(4):664-72

